



A USDA Regional Roadmap to Meeting the Biofuels Goals of the Renewable Fuels Standard by 2022

I. INTRODUCTION

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) is developing a comprehensive regional strategy to help recharge the rural American economy. The strategy targets barriers to the development of a successful biofuels market that will achieve, or surpass, the current U.S. Renewable Fuels Standards (RFS2), as set out in the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA). The RFS2, implementation provisions of which are detailed in the Environmental Protection Agency's RFS2 Final Rule (March 26, 2010 *Federal Register*), becomes effective on July 1, 2010. The RFS2 will create new market opportunities for American agriculture to help fulfill its mandate: the American economy will be using 36 billion gallons (bg) of renewable transportation fuel per year in its transportation fuel supply by 2022.

USDA is uniquely positioned, given its detailed knowledge of the agriculture sector, to understand and support the goals of RFS2 at an agricultural regional level. When President Obama established a Biofuels Interagency Working Group (BIWG) it was intended to coordinate the federal government's efforts on the nation's first comprehensive biofuel market development program. The BIWG is using existing authorities and new policies to support the development of next-generation biofuels, increase flexible fuel vehicle use, and assist in retail marketing efforts. The Working Group is co-chaired by the Secretaries of Agriculture and Energy and the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency. The BIWG brings together and engages in inter-agency policy discussions on the growing biofuels industry.

As part of that larger conversation, USDA's objectives for this report include: providing the practical knowledge from the field that can enhance various models for biofuel production, identify challenges and opportunities, and help develop solutions to this massive undertaking. In addition, USDA hopes that this report spurs discussions and is looking forward to feedback from Congress, states, industry, science, and all concerned citizens and stakeholders.

This report is the work of multiple agencies at USDA, including Rural Development, the Natural Resources Conservation Service, the Forest Service, and the Farm Services Agency, as well as the Office of the Chief Economist and its Office Energy Policy and New Uses, among others, to assess: existing eligible feedstock supply and land availability, current and potential infrastructure capacity, and current and potential regional consumer demand. The report relies on the work of other agencies as inputs, though the analytical approach was developed by USDA. This is an interim product subject to revision given more inputs, including the work of the Biofuels Interagency Working Group.

Over the last 60 years, the percentage of the U.S. population directly involved in production agriculture in America has gone from 15 percent to less than two percent, but the average farmer produces food for 155 people today, as compared to his counterpart 60 years ago who produced food for only 25 people. This increase in efficiency in agriculture and farming, and the resulting sharp decrease in the number of hands needed to meet a growing demand, by its very nature, requires that the rural economy diversify. Growing a domestic biofuels market is part of overall USDA rural strategy to help rebuild rural America.

II. WE ARE ALREADY ON OUR WAY: The Role of Corn Starch Ethanol

The Congressionally mandated RFS2 goal is to use at least 36 billion gallons of bio-based transportation fuels by 2022 that reduce greenhouse gas emissions by the percentages specified under the RFS2. Fifteen billion gallons can come from conventional biofuel sources such as corn ethanol. EPA's analysis projects that 15 billion gallons of conventional biofuels could come from current or planned production capacity of corn starch ethanol by 2022.

In 2009, the United States produced 10.75 billion gallons of ethanol, primarily as corn starch ethanol. The expectation for 2010 is for the United States will produce approximately 12.0 billion gallons of ethanol. According to the Renewable Fuel Association (RFA), there are currently 201 ethanol facilities with a capacity to produce 13.5 billion gallons (RFA, April 27, 2010). In addition, there are facilities currently under construction that will add another 1.2 bg of capacity of corn starch ethanol. As a result, the United States will soon have the installed capacity to produce up to the 15.0 billion gallons of corn-starch ethanol that is allowed by RFS2.

This means that we are already well on our way to meeting the 36 billion gallon goal. See Appendix A for a state by state breakdown of the current state of ethanol production in the United States.

III. MEETING THE 21 BILLION GALLON ADVANCED BIOFUELS CHALLENGE

Of the remaining 21 billion gallons of advanced biofuels needed to achieve the total 36 billion gallon goal, 16 billion gallons is required to come from advanced cellulosic biofuels (fuels made from cellulosic feedstocks that also reduce greenhouse gas emissions by at least 60 percent relative to gasoline). The contribution of biomass-based diesel to the 21 billion gallons goal can be no less than 1 billion gallons and will be determined at a later date by rulemaking. An additional 4 billion gallons of advanced biofuels (defined by the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions by at least 50 percent) by 2022 is also mandated by EISA. .

Biodiesel One Billion. The U.S biofuels industry is on track to produce 1 billion gallons of biodiesel by 2022. In 2009, the United States already produced 550 million gallons of biodiesel. There are presently 173 plants and nearly as many companies that have invested millions of dollars into the development of biodiesel manufacturing plants and are actively marketing biodiesel. Twenty-nine companies have reported that they have plants currently under construction and are scheduled to be completed within the next 12-18 months. Their combined capacity, if realized, would result in another 427.8 million gallons per year of biodiesel production.

The remaining 20-bg challenge: cellulosic and other advanced biofuels. USDA is working to establish a sustainable biofuels economy to help meet the 20-billion gallons of advanced

biofuels challenge. The intention is to develop strategic partnerships with the private sector. By so doing, we expect to expedite the development and deployment of research, development and demonstration projects, facilitate the siting of biorefineries through loan guarantees and other existing programs, and identify potential barriers to meeting transportation and distribution needs for an advanced biofuels industry. The analysis presented below presents one scenario by which the RFS targets could be met. It is based on a USDA analysis of regional feedstock availability and other factors. This analysis is based on converting agricultural and forestry feedstocks to ethanol; however, these feedstocks could be converted to other potential fuels such as methanol biobutanol, green gasoline, and jet fuel (i.e. JP-8.)

(A) Feedstock Assumptions and Limitations

USDA has developed feedstock production scenarios based on dedicated crop feedstocks and waste wood biomass that come from timberland and agricultural lands that are a subset of the RFS2 definitions and assumptions. What is encouraging is that USDA considers these estimates as conservative, because the estimates do not include all possible feedstock sources that may eventually be eligible to achieve the 21 billion gallons of advanced biofuels as specified by RFS2. This is good news since qualified feedstocks identified by EPA but not included in the current USDA analysis (*e.g., tallow, municipal solid waste*) could be counted toward meeting the RFS2 mandate. In addition, if technologies are developed in a timely manner to use an even wider variety of feedstocks, increase conversion ratios, or utilize waste or co-products technologies, while meeting greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, then the total volume of biofuels from approved feedstocks produced that meet emissions specified may exceed the 36 billion gallon RFS2 targets.

Feedstock Choice. In the RFS2 Final Rule, the EPA identifies a number of feedstock pathways and imports that would satisfy the RFS2 mandates. Feedstock pathways for advanced biofuels include switchgrass, soybean oil, corn oil, crop residues, woody biomass and other feedstocks.

The complement of feedstocks included in this USDA analysis and those identified by the EPA should **not** be considered an exhaustive list of all possible feedstock sources. The petition process exists to embrace the possibilities of technological advances and research evolution. In order to be successful in the implementation of a domestic biofuels industry this flexibility should be utilized in considering all the feedstock resources that America has to offer that meet the greenhouse gas requirements and other restrictions of the RFS2.

USDA scientists, intramural and extra-mural research, and collaborative work with other Federal agencies (i.e. Navy, Air Force) suggest that additional feedstocks such as biomass (sweet) sorghum, energy cane, and camelina may merit consideration under the RFS2. For the purposes of this analysis, USDA assumed that these feedstocks were sufficiently similar to those already eligible under the Renewable Fuels Standard and necessary to the regional approach. USDA recognizes that a formal review by the EPA of each of the feedstocks would be required to evaluate whether they qualify as an advanced biofuel.

Feedstock Definitions. EISA includes specific greenhouse gas reduction thresholds levels for renewable fuel mandates that feedstock pathways must meet. The EPA in its analysis of the feedstock pathways was required to carry out a complete greenhouse gases life-cycle-analysis, which considers reductions in carbon emissions and other greenhouse gas. In addition, EISA

provides a specific definition of renewable biomass and places constraints on the types of land from which renewable biomass can be collected or harvested. For the sake of consistency USDA chose to use those definitions in the context of this report.

Feedstock Assumption Summary

EPA expects the following feedstocks and the associated number of gallons by 2022:¹:

- | | |
|---|---------|
| • Switchgrass (perennial grass): | 7.9 bg |
| • Soy biodiesel and corn oil: | 1.34 bg |
| • Crop residues (corn stover, includes bagasse): | 5.5 bg |
| • Woody biomass (forestry residue):
(data does not include short-term woody crops) | 0.1 bg |
| • Corn ethanol: | 15.0 bg |
| • Other (municipal solid waste (MSW)): | 2.6 bg |
| • Animal fats and yellow grease: | 0.38 bg |
| • Algae: | 0.1 bg |
| • Imports: | 2.2 bg |

USDA estimates² the following feedstocks and the associated gallons by 2022: (this count does not include tallow, MSW, or algae)

- | | |
|---|---------|
| • Dedicated energy crops:
perennial grasses, energy cane, biomass sorghum: | 13.4 bg |
| • Oilseeds (soy, canola): | 0.5 bg |
| • Crop residues (corn stover, straw): | 4.3 bg |
| • Woody biomass (logging residues only): | 2.8 bg |
| • Corn starch ethanol: | 15.0 bg |

(B) Land Use Assumptions and Limitations

Consistent with EISA, USDA assumes that biomass may be grown on defined agriculture cropland (agriculture cropland where crops are produced and agriculture cropland in pasture). To produce this much in biofuels will take 27 million acres of cropland,³ 6.5 percent of the total 406.4 million acres of cropland as reported in the 2007 Census of Agriculture (COA). This does not include acreage of timberland harvested from which logging residues are viable feedstocks, nor does it include acreage from traditional food crops from which post harvest crop residues are

¹ Biofuels that have a higher energy density than ethanol receive a greater weighting as it contributes to the RFS2 mandate total. For example, each gallon of biodiesel counts as 1.5 gallons of renewable toward the mandate. On a volume basis, the total for those feedstock pathways will sum to less than 36 bg.

² These calculations are based on research by Agricultural Research Service scientists who calculated energy yield by feedstock. In addition, the feedstocks used for this report are those USDA posits are most likely to be produced in volume.

³ This calculation is based on the sum of the energy yields per acre of the analyzed feedstocks.

collected. Importantly, USDA will assess the acreage of fallow and underutilized lands that can be sustainably converted into dedicated energy crops.

Cropland. The USDA's 2007 COA reports that there is a total of 922.1 million acres of Land in Farms. The category land in farms is comprehensive and represents all land in farms: land that is defined as agriculture cropland (406.4 million acres) and other land (515.7 million acres). Cropland is further segmented into the following categories: cropland harvested, cropland used as pasture (land that could be planted, but is in pasture the year of the COA), other crop land that is idled for conservation or intentional purposes, summer fallow, and failed crops. Cropland harvested is the larger category accounting for 76 percent of the total cropland.

Land in Farms (1,000 Acres)

	<u>2007</u>
Total land in farm	922,095
Total cropland	406,424
Cropland harvested	309,607
Other land	515,671

Cropland in Agriculture (1,000 Acres)

	<u>2007</u>
Cropland harvested	309,607
Cropland used for pasture	35,771
Cropland cover crop not harvested pasture	37,969
Summer fallow	15,671
Cropland on which all crops failed	7,405
Cropland idled	0
Total	406,423

EISA provides a definition of renewable biomass that is more restrictive than the Farm Bill definition of renewable biomass. EISA restricts where feedstocks can grow and be harvested for use in producing renewable fuels for compliance with the RFS2. For planted crops/crop residue from agricultural land and planted trees/tree residue from actively managed tree plantations on non-federal land, feedstocks must come from land cleared/cultivated land prior to December 19, 2007. EPA's approach excludes rangeland as an approved land type from which renewable biomass could be produced or harvested.

Forested land. USDA estimates of biomass from logging residues are based on actual data from the 2001 – 2005 period. The average annual volume of logging residues (all species), average over the 2001-2005 period, is assumed available per annum. The total and harvested timberland area is also averaged over 2000-2005 period to give an estimate of the area that logging residues actually come from and, potentially, how large or how much biomass might be available. For the purposes of this analysis 42.5 million dry tons of logging residues, used for no other purpose and totaling only a percentage of the total logging residues actually generated by logging practices, is available for fuel production annually. This residue is taken from 10.8 million acres of harvested acreage, as compared to the 507.3 million acres of timberland available for

harvesting activities. One dry ton of logging residues is assumed to yield 70 gallons of ethanol per dry ton. In total, about 2.8 billion gallons of advanced biofuels is projected from logging residues when the conversion technology is commercialized.

(C) USDA Regional Analysis Assumption Summary

USDA recognized that different regions of the country have a comparative advantage to the type of feedstocks that can be produced and utilized in biofuel production. By leveraging the availability of these regional resources, diversification of biofuel production will be a national solution to reducing the Nation's dependence on oil, much of which is imported.

These regions were determined based upon the prevalence of potential crop and woody biomass feedstocks adapted to different ecological regions of the county, their yields, and current producer interest. USDA estimated ⁴ the following regional biofuel contributions to the RFS2 advanced biofuel goal of at least 21 billion gallons a year by 2022:

- Southeast: 49.8%
- Northeast : 2.0%
- Central-Eastern: 43.3%
- Northwest: 4.6%
- Western: < 0.3%,
assumed 0 for crop biomass, though there is opportunity for algae and woody biomass

Advanced Biofuel Production from New Capacity (billion gallons)

Region	% of Total Advanced Volume	Advanced biofuels		Total Advanced	Total Advanced
		Ethanol	Biodiesel	Volume	RFS2 Basis (1)
Southeast (2)	49.8	10.45	0.01	10.46	10.47
Central East (3)	43.3	8.83	0.26	9.09	9.22
Northeast (4)	2.0	0.42	0.01	0.42	0.43
Northwest (5)	4.6	0.79	0.18	0.96	1.05
West (6)	<.3	0.06	0.00	0.06	0.06
United States		20.55	0.45	21.00	21.23

- (1) RFS2 basis - higher density fuels receive higher weighting relative to ethanol. Biodiesel weight is 1.5
(2) Feedstocks: Perennial grasses, soyoil, energy cane, biomass (sweet) sorghum, logging residues
(3) Feedstocks: Perennial grasses, canola, soyoil, biomass (sweet) sorghum, corn stover, logging residues
(4) Feedstocks: Perennial grasses, soyoil, biomass (sweet) sorghum, corn stover, logging residues
(5) Feedstocks: Canola, straw, logging residues
(6) Feedstocks: Biomass (sweet) sorghum, logging residues

⁴ These estimates are based on energy yield per acre per feedstock, and estimates of acreage planted to those feedstocks as regionally appropriate.

IV. OVERCOMING INFRASTRUCTURE BARRIERS TO CONVERTING BIOMASS INTO BIOFUELS

(A) Introduction.

This section of the report lays out the availability and regional distribution of the resources needed to produce the biofuels to reach the RFS2 target of 36 bg of renewable biofuels per year. In addition, it presents a path to the regional diversification of advanced biofuels, benefiting multiple local communities across the country. This information should assist the government in pinpointing potential barriers and bottlenecks to investment and assist the industry in developing commercially viable enterprises that will benefit both the rural economy and U.S. consumers.

Assuming an average biorefinery size of 40 million gallons per year, USDA estimates it meeting the RFS2 advanced biofuels goals will mean building of 527 biorefineries, at a cost of \$168 billion.⁵ While we expect the market to react to this need, biorefineries will need to be constructed in a timely manner, while accounting for transportation needs for feedstocks and fuel distribution.

(B) Regional Status and Outlook on Advanced Biofuels Production

Review of Assumptions.

- **Costs.** USDA assumed a steady cellulosic plant construction cost of \$8 per gallon. We recognize that initial construction costs for first of a kind plant will typically be greater than the costs of plants that follow; however, we assume a fixed capital cost over time. Plant construction costs decline in real terms for this analysis.
- **Size.** This approach assumes each biorefinery built will have a capacity of 40 million gallons a year.
- **Biofuel Regions.** Based on work by the Agricultural Research Service, biofuel feedstock regions were developed based on crops that we expect will be prevalent in those areas based on historic planting data and weather, soil and water conditions.
- **Energy Yields per Acre.** Through ARS research, we could assume certain energy yields per acre by feedstock and thereby estimate the number of biorefineries necessary for each region to fill their expected biofuels goals.
- **Technology Changes.** For the sake of this report, we assume no technology change, which make our estimates conservative. This is a very conservative assumption as RFS2 is predicated on challenging the industry to create newer, cleaner fuels. Also, the agricultural sector as a whole is incredibly productive and has consistently outpaced productivity increases in other sectors, in part, due to its investment in technology (e.g. drought resistant seeds).

⁵ This figure comes from the analysis of USDA received applications for funding biorefineries that average the cost of building the biorefinery divided by the projected plant capacity.

1. SOUTHEAST REGION and HAWAII:

States. Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas

Feedstocks. Soybean oil, Energy cane, Biomass Sorghum, Perennial grasses, Woody biomass

Other Points of Interest. Hawaii, Florida, Georgia and Texas are the largest consumers of petroleum in the region. USDA has an MOU to provide biofuels to the Navy in Hawaii that involves research and development as well as implementation. With the Western Naval fleet in HI, there is already a consumption base from the Navy of 80 million gallons of fuel a year.

Current Production Capacity.

Ethanol Biorefineries: Total facilities 20—17 producing, 2 idle, and one in construction

- GA—2 producing; 1 in construction
- KY—3 producing
- LA—1 producing
- MS—1 producing
- NC—5 producing; 2 idle
- TN—2 producing
- TX—3 producing

Biodiesel Refineries: Total facilities 67—40 producing; 25 idle; 1 unknown status; 1 in construction

- AL—3 producing; 3 idle
- AR—2 producing
- FL—1 producing; 2 idle; 1 unknown status
- GA—6 producing; 1 idle
- HI—1 producing; 1 idle
- KY—3 producing; 1 idle
- LA—0 producing; 1 idle
- MS—5 producing
- NC—1 in construction
- SC—3 producing
- TN—3 producing; 3 idle
- TX—13 producing; 10 idle

Potential Production Capacity. This region could produce 10.5 billion gallons of advanced biofuels per year, at 263 biorefineries producing 40 million gallons by year, costing \$320 million per biorefinery. This will take an \$83.8 billion cumulative investment, to build the 263 biorefineries with an average capacity of 40 million gallons. USDA estimated that a significant amount of volume, up to 50%, of the advanced biofuels, could come from this region because it has the most robust growing season in the United States that supports the highest gallons-per-

acre crops of all biofuels crops. One advanced fuel biorefinery is expected to open in August of 2010 in Louisiana, with expected production of 75 million gallons.

Land Use. In this region there is an acreage base of 83.4 million acres of cropland and cropland pasture and 182.8 million acres of forest land. To produce the biofuels necessary from this region, an advanced biofuel production of 10.5 billion gallons from 9.5 million acres, 11.4% of the available cropland and cropland pasture acreage base, would be required for fuel use.

2. NORTHEAST REGION

States. Connecticut, Massachusetts, Maine, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, Vermont, West Virginia

Feedstock. Woody biomass, municipal waste potential

Other Points of Interest. In addition to woody biomass there is corn in NY, and other row crops in Southern PA which we have not taken into account yet. Other sources and affects yet to be considered are municipal solid waste availability and existing infrastructure, brownfields, that may be suitable sites for biorefineries.

Current Production Capacity.

Ethanol Biorefineries: Total 7 facilities producing

- MI—5 producing
- NY—2 producing

Biodiesel Refineries: Total 20 potential facilities—9 producing, 2 in construction; 5 with unknown production status; 4 idle

- CT—1 unknown status
- MA—1 unknown status
- ME—2 producing
- MI—2 producing
- NH—1 in construction; 1 unknown status
- NJ—2 producing; 1 unknown status;
- NY—3 producing; 1 in construction; 1 idle
- RI—1 producing; 1 unknown status
- WV—1 producing

Potential Production Capacity. USDA estimates that 2.0% of advanced biofuel production (mostly woody biomass) will come from the Northeast. The region could produce 423.7 million gallons of advanced biofuels from 639,150 acres of dedicated bioenergy crops (perennial grasses) plus 1.7 million acres of harvested logging residue in a year. This will take 11 biorefineries, producing 40 million gallons by year, costing \$320 million per biorefinery, for a total cost of \$3.52 billion cumulative investment over time.

Land Use. In the Northeast there is an acreage base of 15.1 million acres of cropland and cropland pasture and 79.3 million acres of timber land. Approximately 4.5% of the available cropland and cropland pasture acreage base is used in meeting the advanced biofuel mandates.

3. CENTRAL EAST REGION

States. Delaware, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Missouri, Ohio, Oklahoma, Maryland, Minnesota, Nebraska, North Dakota, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Wisconsin, Virginia.

Feedstock. Perennial grasses, biomass sorghum, crop residues, soy beans, woody biomass.

Other Points of Interest. The Central East Region of the United States is one of two regions with the most potential for near and long term development of biofuels. Based on feedstock and land, infrastructure, and demand this region is key in implementing a successful biofuels market. The current cap in the RFS2 as a result of EISA is 15 billion gallons of corn-starch ethanol. This region will produce the last 4.25 billion gallons to reach the cap.

Current Production Capacity. 12 billion gallons per year conventional ethanol, .5 billion gallons per year of biodiesel

Ethanol Biorefineries: Total facilities 171—170 facilities producing, one in construction

- IA—40 producing
- IL—13 producing
- IN—11 producing
- KS—12 producing; 1 in construction
- MN—27 producing
- MO—5 producing
- ND—6 producing
- NE—22 producing
- OH—8 producing
- PA—1 producing
- SD—15 producing
- VA—1 producing
- WI—9 producing

Biodiesel Facilities: Total facilities 84 facilities—5 unknown, 14 idle, 63 producing; 2 in construction

- DE—1 idle
- IA—8 producing; 6 idle
- IL—4 producing; 3 idle; 1 in construction
- IN—6 producing; 1 in construction, 1 unknown status
- KS—1 producing
- MD—5 producing; 1 unknown status
- MN—3 producing; 2 unknown status
- MO—11 producing

- OH—8 producing; 3 idle
- OK—2 producing; 1 idle
- PA—7 producing
- SD—1 producing
- VA—3 producing
- WI—3 producing; 1 unknown status

Potential Production Capacity. USDA estimates that on a volume basis, 43.3% of the 20 billion gallons of advanced biofuel by 2022 will be produced in the Central East region. This will take \$72 billion in cumulative investments to build 226 biorefineries with an estimated capacity of 40 million gallons per year.

Land Use. This region has an acreage base of 241 million of cropland and cropland pasture plus 109.8 million acres of timber land that could produce 9.1 billion gallons from 10.8 million acres of dedicated bioenergy crops plus 2.0 million acres of harvested logging residue in a year. Incremental advanced biofuel production will take up 4.5% of the available cropland and cropland pasture acreage base.

4. NORTHWEST REGION

States. Alaska, Idaho, Montana, Oregon, Washington

Feedstock. Woody biomass, oil seed, grasses, cereal crop residue.

Other Points of Interest. We have 100 million gallons a year currently being produced in this region from canola, but much of the feedstock is imported from Canada and at this time canola is not an approved feedstock pathway under the RFS2.

Current Production Capacity.

Ethanol Biorefineries: Total 4 facilities producing

- ID—2 producing
- WA—2 producing

Biodiesel Facilities: Total 10 facilities—7 producing, 1 idle, 2 in construction

- ID—2 producing; 1 in construction
- OR—1 producing
- WA—4 producing; 1 idle; 1 in construction

Potential Production Capacity. USDA estimates that 4.6% of advanced biofuel production of the 21 billion gallons required by 2022 (primarily oilseed crops) will be from the Northwest region. This will take an \$8.32 billion investment to build 27 biorefineries with an average capacity of 40 million gallons per year.

Land Use. Acreage base of 36.9 million acres of cropland and cropland pasture plus 86.4 million acres of forest land. To produce the 1 billion gallons from 2.5 million acres of dedicated

bioenergy crops plus 911,500 acres of harvested logging residue in a year it will take 6.9% of the available cropland and cropland pasture acreage base.

5. WESTERN REGION

States. Arizona, California, Colorado, New Mexico, Nevada, Utah, Wyoming

Feedstock. Woody biomass, Oilseed crops (e.g. camelina, canola); potential for algae not included.

Other Points of Interest. While this region has potential for development of biofuels, it is very limited and the total contribution to meeting the RFS2 requirement is less than 1%. That said, USDA has already funded one commercial scale algae based biorefinery in New Mexico and expects to see more efforts on algae based biofuels in California, among other places. As this technology develops for algae, the US will have another feedstock resource contributing to RFS2.

Current Production Capacity.

Ethanol Biorefineries: Total 15 facilities—13 producing, 2 in construction

- AZ—1 producing
- CA—6 producing; 1 in construction
- CO—4 producing; 1 in construction
- NV—1 producing
- WY—1 producing

Biodiesel Facilities: Total 27 facilities—18 producing, 5 idle, 3 in construction, 1 unknown

- AZ—3 producing
- CA—13 producing; 3 idle; 2 in construction
- CO—2 producing; 1 idle
- NM—1 idle; 1 unknown status
- NV—1 in construction

Potential Production Capacity. USDA assumes 0.3% of the 21 billion gallons of advanced biofuel by 2022 (this is only for dedicated energy crops and woody biomass from logging waste). Commercial scale algae production is not included.

Land Use. In this region there is an acreage base of 29.7 million of cropland and cropland pasture plus 48.9 million acres of forest land. While 64 million gallons from 49,800 acres of dedicated bioenergy crops plus 442,600 acres of harvested logging residue in a year (does not include potential from insect and disease damaged and dead trees that could be harvested) is a potential, it is not counted as part of the RFS2.

V. INFRASTRUCTURE CONSIDERATIONS FOR THE EXPANDED USE OF BIOFUELS

There are a number of potential barriers and bottlenecks in the current ethanol use supply chain. While we expect the market to respond to the infrastructure needs of a growing industry, we recognize that the path from production to actual consumption presents challenges that will need to be anticipated and addressed. For example, the vehicle fleet in the United States today currently is not able to accept ethanol blends higher than 10% due to the technical challenge of overcoming the “blend wall”.⁶ EPA is in the process of evaluating whether a decision to blend up to 15 percent ethanol into gasoline is justifiable based on the latest science, its effect on engines, and its effect on air quality. In addition, the only other fuel currently utilized by vehicles on the road today is E85, which can be utilized in a flex-fuel vehicle (FFV). The limited number of FFVs, their relatively low utilization of bio-based fuels instead of gasoline, and the inability of the rest of the vehicle fleet to utilize higher blends, restricts the amount of ethanol that can actually be consumed.


In conjunction with meeting the blend wall and FFV challenges, there is a need to evaluate infrastructure needs to both distribute (rail and truck, blending terminals and storage) and dispense fuel (blender pumps, refueling stations). These issues can affect decisions in siting biorefineries, in addition to many other components such as feedstock availability, land and water availability. Key among them are distribution concerns. For instance, biorefineries with production capacity below 100 million gallons per year will likely depend on truck service to deliver the feedstocks to the biorefinery and the fuel to market (petroleum blending terminal or retail stations). However, if enough biorefineries are located in close proximity to each other, rail service may be a viable option. For retail sales, the installation of blender pumps will be necessary at the retail level to provide outlet of the product to the consumer.

We provide an assessment of current capacity including the availability of blender pumps and transportation infrastructure.

Blender Pumps. While the market will determine the ultimate need for blender pumps, this is one area that USDA can immediately offer assistance on infrastructure, beyond the work USDA Rural Development is already doing on biorefinery construction and upgrade loans and grants.

The number of FFV vehicles in service and locations of concentrations of these vehicles is a good indicator of current blender pump needs. The number of Flex Fuel Vehicles (FFVs) currently on the road is between 8.0 and 8.5 million. They constitute about 3.2 – 3.5 percent of the approximately 250 million vehicles on the road. The map below shows the distribution of FFVs by county (NREL), indicating the concentration of FFVs is mainly near the ethanol-producing region.


⁶ Growth Energy has submitted a waiver request to EPA to allow E15. Archer Daniels Midland has requested EPA, on the basis of substantially similar rationale, that E11/E12 be approved.



The bulk of the FFVs are located in the Midwest. However, see figure below, there is a demand for ethanol outside the Midwest. Approximately 40 counties in Texas, 2 in Kansas, 3 in Nebraska, 8 in South Dakota, 3 in North Dakota, 6 in Minnesota, and 1 in Missouri have a concentration of FFVs in the 5 – 10 percent range. On a land density basis (FFV/5 sq. miles), the FFVs are concentrated in the East and West Cornbelt and the Southern and Northern Plains states. In the West Coast markets such as, California, Arizona, Washington, and Oregon and in the Northeast states, however, there is an opportunity to increase the number of FFVs because currently their share in those major markets is low.

The top five states in terms of FFVs are Texas, Florida, California, Michigan and Ohio and they account for one-third of the FFVs (July 1, 2009). One point of information—due to the total number of vehicles in the urban areas of California and Michigan—they are boosted into the top five states in terms of FFVs.

The map below shows that California, Texas and Florida are the States with the highest consumption of ethanol and *may be the primary targets for blender pumps and flex-fuel vehicles.*



According to the EPA, CFDC, RFA and NREL there is a wide range in the estimated cost to install blender pumps. The range in costs is directly attributed to whether existing pumps can be modified or need to be replaced and whether Underground Storage Tanks (UST) need to be put in or modified. If a station is currently selling E85, for instance, the pump could be modified so it could pump fuel from the E85 tank and an E10 tank to deliver an alternative blend.

The cost for a standard fuel dispenser is \$14,000 (American Coalition for Ethanol - Lamberty) and for an E85 dispenser \$23,000. The cost of a blending pump would more closely match that of an E85 pump. Each pump has two fueling positions. The EPA (RIA) estimates that if the hoses on a dispenser needed to be replaced this could be done for \$750 plus a \$25 installation fee (total cost of \$775 per dispenser). If the wetted fuel dispenser components needed to be replaced, this could be done for \$10,000 (components) and an installation fee of \$1,000. The total cost to modify the standard pump would include hoses, wetted components and installation for an estimated cost of \$11,775.

EPA estimates that the average cost to install a new tank and above ground E85 dispensing equipment is \$122,000 per retail station. Fueling facilities can install an E85 pump and net out the cost with the tax credit for infrastructure, but, it is only for the E85 portion of the pump. The tax credit was originally 30 percent or \$30,000 of the cost of infrastructure (tanks, pumps, etc.); in 2009 was increased to 50 percent or \$50,000. This tax credit is set to expire at the end of 2010.

If facilities need to break cement or ground to modify pipes, tanks or add tanks, EPA estimates the cost to average \$25,000 – facilities that require more extensive UST modification would cost more, while those requiring less work would cost less. Assuming the blender pump costs are similar to E85 and the potential underground work, the cost could rise to more than \$50,000 for one blender pump installation. Multiple pump installations at one facility would spread the underground work cost across more pumps. An NREL 2008 survey of 120 stations found that the median cost to add a new tank was \$59,000.

These figures indicate that the cost to install blender pumps can vary widely which means that the support necessary for this process must be flexible. In addition to federal support, some states provide support to offset some of the installation costs to the fueling stations in installing blender pumps. Growth Energy began a program recently that offers up to \$5,000 to help defray the costs of procurement and installation.

A number of states have incentives designed to stimulate consumption of biofuels. A state by state listing is not possible at this time, but USDA is in the process of compiling one, with the help of the states. The incentives could include: industry recruitment incentives, corporate tax credits, net metering policies, grants, loan programs, rebate programs, personal tax credits, sales tax exemptions, property tax exemptions, and production incentives. However, more than half of the states provide some E85 production and/or sale encouragement.

Rail and Trucking Infrastructure. Current conditions for ethanol distribution should guide us in evaluating potential gaps in infrastructure needs as biofuels supply increases and demand responds as it becomes more widely available and competitive with other fuels. Ethanol consumption has followed a gradual regional development. Most of ethanol is distributed by rail, but only about 15 percent of petroleum blending terminals that handle ethanol have rail access—most are serviced by pipelines for petroleum products and trucks for ethanol. The map below shows the current patterns of ethanol distribution.⁷ It shows the concentration of rail corridors from the producing area in the Midwest to the consumption areas along the coasts. It also identifies the location of all ethanol blending terminals.

⁷ For a more in-depth look at biofuel transportation, please see Chapter 4 of the USDA/DOT Study of Rural Transportation Issues: <http://www.ams.usda.gov/AMSV1.0/RuralTransportationStudy>



Based on a model developed by Oakridge National Laboratories, EPA projects that 40 unit train rail receipt facilities will be needed to distribute additional volumes of ethanol as targeted by the RFS2. Additional unit-train destinations would likely create more ethanol corridors on the rail network, possibly alleviating congestion points that could develop with increased biofuel shipments. In addition to unit trains, EPA expects manifest rail cars (shipments of less than 80–100 railcar unit trains) will continue to be used to ship ethanol and cellulosic biofuels. EPA estimates the capital costs for the ethanol distribution infrastructure would total \$12.066 billion.

When amortized, this translates to 6.9 cents per gallon of additional costs associated with shipping RFS2-related volumes of ethanol. Developing unit train destinations is a time-consuming process, usually taking 3 to 5 years. The industry has responded to this challenge by developing rail-to-truck transloading facilities for smaller-than-unit train shipments of ethanol.

X. CONCLUSIONS

This report was intended to start compiling real world data that would indicate the size and scope of the investments necessary to achieve 36 billion gallons of renewable biofuels by 2022. What USDA has shown in this report is:

- (1) A rapid build-up in production capabilities is needed to meet the RFS2 targets for cellulosic biofuels.
- (2) The scope of the monetary investment for biorefineries is substantial.
- (3) It is important to consider both sides of the market – the production/supply side and mandate/consumption side – and how they respond to the RFS2 mandate.
- (4) There are current infrastructure needs, in the form of blender pumps and rail and trucking infrastructure which are in varying stages of being addressed by the market, though a careful assessment of barriers to their development is needed.
- (5) The U.S. farm sector is capable of producing a diverse complement of feedstocks to make the biofuels industry a truly national effort.
- (6) In addition, a process for identifying bottlenecks and barriers related to locating biorefineries involving the federal government, Congress, states, the industry and interested stakeholders can help facilitate a biorefinery system that is national in scope.

USDA intends this report to provoke discussions and looks forward to further work on this issue which may prove to be one of the most important of the 21st century.

Contributors to this Report:

Sarah Bittleman	OSEC
Meg Bolin	RD
Bill Hagy	RD
Velma Charles-Shannon	ASCR
Renee Schwartz	FAS
Jeffrey Steiner	OCS
Tony Crooks	RD
Bill Smith	RD
Todd Atkinson	FSA
Lynn Tjeerdsma	FSA
Chavonda Jacobs-Young	OCS
Ross Braun	NRCS
Marilyn Buford	FS R&D
Karen Larsen	RD/RUS
Chris Nelson	OBPA
Paul Trupo	FAS
Harry Baumes	OCE/OEPNU
Marina Denicoff	AMS
Quinton Robinson	OSDBV
Todd Campbell	RD

APPENDIX A.

Current Status of Biofuel Production in the United States

A. Ethanol

Currently, ethanol, from corn grain, and biodiesel, from soybean oil, animal fats, or restaurant greases, are the only biofuels produced in the United States on a commercial scale. In the US, there are currently 201 ethanol and 168 biodiesel facilities in production. The majority of this biorefineries are located in the Central Eastern region of the country, comprised of Iowa, Nebraska, Illinois, Minnesota, South Dakota, Indiana, and Ohio. Corn starch ethanol is not considered an advanced biofuel for the purposes of the RFS2 standards and can contribute a maximum of 15 billion gallons to the RFS2 targets.

Based on regional agronomic conditions, the Central Eastern region of the United States bears the greatest potential for expansion in addition to the current production, followed in order by the Southeast, Northwest, Northeast, and West, with the West projected to contribute less than 1% of the goal of 21 billion gallons of advanced biofuel by 2022.

Ethanol Facilities
Nameplate Capacity and Production Capacity
Ranked by State

(Largest to Smallest Capacity as of March 2010)

Rank	State	Nameplate Capacity (Million Gallons Per Year)	Rank	State	Operating Production (Million Gallons Per Year)
1	Iowa	3,537.0	1	Iowa	3,537.0
2	Nebraska	1,744.0	2	Nebraska	1,719.0
3	Illinois	1,226.0	3	Illinois	1,226.0
4	Minnesota	1,136.6	4	Minnesota	1,112.6
5	South Dakota	1,016.0	5	South Dakota	1,016.0
6	Indiana	908.0	6	Indiana	706.0
7	Ohio	538.0	7	Wisconsin	498.0
8	Wisconsin	498.0	8	Kansas	436.5
9	Kansas	491.5	9	North Dakota	348.0
10	North Dakota	358.0	10	Ohio	314.0
11	Michigan	265.0	11	Michigan	265.0
12	Missouri	261.0	12	Missouri	261.0
13	Texas	250.0	13	Texas	250.0
14	California	194.5	14	Tennessee	177.0
15	Tennessee	177.0	15	Colorado	125.0
16	New York	164.0	16	Pennsylvania	110.0
17	Oregon	148.0	17	Georgia	100.4
18	Colorado	125.0	18	Arizona	55.0
19	Pennsylvania	110.0	19	Idaho	54.0
20	Georgia	100.4	20	Mississippi	54.0
21	Arizona	55.0	21	New York	50.0
22	Idaho	54.0	22	Oregon	40.0
23	Mississippi	54.0	23	California	39.5
24	Kentucky	35.4	24	Kentucky	35.4
25	New Mexico	30.0	25	New Mexico	30.0
26	Wyoming	6.5	26	Wyoming	6.5
27	Louisiana	1.5	27	Louisiana	1.5
	United States Total	13,519.4		United States Total	12,572.4